

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/858,366	Applicant(s) BRAUCKMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner Anu Ramana	Art Unit 3733	

All Participants:

(1) Kevin J. Dunleavy.

(2) Anu Ramana.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 September 2005

Time: 11:00 am

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Final Rejection mailed on September 21, 2005.

Claims discussed:

7, 11 and 13.

Prior art documents discussed:

None


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


EDUARDO C. ROBERT
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants' Representative agreed to amending claim 7 by incorporating allowable subject matter from claim 13. Additionally, Applicants' Representative proposed to insert new claims 40-45 wherein claim 40 incorporates allowable subject matter from claim 11 into claim 7 and claims 41-45 correspond to claims 8-10, 14 and 36. ^{Details of the} details of the various claim amendments are provided in the attached Examiner's Amendment.